



On Analyzing and Specifying Concerns for Data as a Service

Hong-Linh Truong and Schahram Dustdar

Distributed Systems Group Vienna University of Technology

truong@infosys.tuwien.ac.at http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/Staff/truong/

Acknowledgment: Marco Comerio, G.R. Gangadharan, Roman Khazankin, Reinhard Pichler, Andrea Maurino, Vadim Savenkov,







- Background and motivation
- DaaS concerns
- Specifying DaaS concerns
- Linking DaaS concerns to services
- Current prototype
- Some studies of DaaS concerns in current service descriptions
- Conclusion and future work





Background

- Web services technologies, the SaaS model and the cloud computing model foster the concept of data/information as a service (DaaS)
- No precise definition but DaaSs
 - Provide data capabilities rather than provide computation on data or data based on computation
- Providing DaaS is an increasing trend
 - In both business and e-science environments
 - Bio data, weather data, company balance sheets, etc., via Web services
 - Academic research and industrial relevant research topics

APSCC 2009, Dec 8th, 2009, Singapore





Background - our view on DaaS

- Read-only DaaS versus CRUD DaaS
- Service APIs versus Data
 - Service APIs are used to CRUD data
 - They are not the same wrt concerns



4

http://infochimps,p

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GROUP



Motivation

- Data-specific concerns need for
 - Selecting data services based on provided data and service contracts
 - Evaluating the compatibility of service contracts in data composition
 - Supporting quality-aware data composition from multiple data services
- Data-specific concerns combined with service APIs specific concerns
 - Not just QoS based service selection





Motivation (cont.)

- DaaSs are currently considered like any other Web services
 - WSDL/WADL description + QoS + pricing information (mostly in HTML form)
- But concerns on data are different from that on service APIs
- Where are the data-relevant concerns in service descriptions?
 - E.g., data quality, usage permission, and data ownerships
- How data-relevant concerns can be combined with service-relevant concerns?





Existing Work

- QoS description and QoS-based Web services selection are well researched
 - Googling "QoS-based Web services selection,, ~ 20.000
- Data Quality is well-known in database community
 - E.g., see ACM Computing Survey 41(3):2009 on data qualities done by Batini et al.
- (Service) Licensing is currently being studied for SaaS
- Several licenses for data are introduced but in human-readable form only
 - E.g., Talis community license, the Open Knowledge Foundation Wiki, the Open Database License
- Intensive discussion on laws and regulations on cloud computing
 - E.g., see Davide Maria Parrilli's work
- Data Governance: e.g., see the IBM data governance maturity model





Issues and Approach

- Issues
 - DaaS concerns include QoS, DQ, service licensing, data licensing, data governance, etc.
 - There is a lack of techniques for the publishing, discovery, selection and evaluation of data concerns
 - There is a lack of techniques for integrating concerns for DaaSs
 - Data concerns and Service APIs concerns
- This talk focuses on publishing information that characterizes DaaSs

What are main DaaS concerns (non-functional parameters) and how to specify them and provide them for the data service selection and contract compatibility?

Some empirical studies on existing DaaS descriptions

We are not talking about how to evaluate concerns and monitor them



The Importance of Concerns in Data Consumer's View

Concerns	Read-only DaaS	CRUD Daas
Data Quality	Important factor for the selection of DaaS. For example, the accurary and compleness of the data, whether the data is up-to-date	Expected some support to control the quality of the data in case the data is offered to other consumers
Data source	Important factor for the trustworthiness of the DaaS.	
Data & Service Usage	Important factor, in particular, price, data and service APIs licensing, law enforcement, and IPRs	Important factor, in paricular, price, service APIs licensing, and law enforcement
Data Governance		Important factor, for example, the security and privacy compliance, data distribution, and auditing
QoS	Important factor, in particular availability and response time	Important factor, in particular, availability, response time, depability, and security
APSCC 2009, Dec 8th , 20	Useful factor, such as classification and service type (REST, SOAP), location	Important factor, e.g. location (for regulation compliance) and versioning



Conceptual Model for DaaS Concerns and Contracts





Capability Concerns

- Data Quality capabilities
 - Based on well-established research on data quality
 - Timelineness, uptodate, free-of-error, cleaning, consistency, completeness, domain-specific metrics, etc.
 - We mainly support the specification of DQ metrics for the whole DaaS but possible to extend to the service operation level
- Data Security/Privacy capabilities
 - Data protection within DaaS, e.g. encryption, sensitive data filtering, and data privacy
 - Many terms are based on the W3C P3P





Capability Concerns (cont.)

- Auditing capabilities
 - Logging, reporting (e.g., daily, weekly, and monthly), and warning
 - Support system maintenance, SLA monitoring, billing, and taxation
- Data lifecycle
 - Backup/recovery, distribution (e.g., a service is in Europe but data is stored in US), and disposition
 - Support system maintenance but also regulation on data





Capability Concerns (cont.)

- QoS capabilities are applied to service APIs
 - Based on well-researched QoS for Web services
 - Performance capabilities
 - e.g., latency, response time and throughput
 - Dependability capabilities,
 - e.g., availability, reliability, accessibility, security
- Business
 - Pricing model (flat rate, pay-per-use, with/without transaction conditions) and Price
 - Service credit for reward or compensation
 - e.g. Amazon service credits





Capability Concerns (cont.)

- Data and service license
 - Usage permission: for data (distribution, transfer, personal use, etc.) and for service APIs (adaptation, composition, derivation, etc.)
 - We utilize some terms from ODRL/ODRL-S
 - Copyrights
 - Liability: e.g., who is reponsible for the loss due to a network disruption?
 - Law enforcement (e.g., US or European court)
 - Domain specific IRPs





Data Source Concerns

- A DaaS may utilize data from many sources.
- Similar DaaSs may utilize data from the same source
- Data source properties
 - Name: e.g. ddfFlus or DataFlux or Mr A
 - Size
 - Timespan: the duration of collected data, e.g., more than 4 years in the eBay Data License
 - Update Frequency: how offen the data is updated
 - Etc.





Service Context Concerns

- Location:
 - Selecting a DaaS in Amazon US Zone or European Zone?
- Service Type: REST or SOAP?
 - E.g., mobile client daas
- Level of Service
- Service Classification
 - Based on UNSPSC Code Classification Services
- Data Classification
- Service/data versioning



TU XML Diagram for DaaS Specification

	🛃 Daa	IS	
Ť	📧 capabilityProperty	[01]	Capability
_	e serviceContextProperty	[01]	ServiceContext
990	e dataSourceProperty	[01]	DataSource
	e baseContractTerms	[01]	ServiceContract

🔚 Capability					
T	📧 qodProperty	[01]	QoD		
000	📧 secprivProperty	[01]	DataSecurityPrivacy		
	auditingProperty	[01]	Auditing		
	e dataLifecycleProperty	[01]	DataLifecycle		
	e qosProperty	[01]	QoS		
	🖻 businessProperty	[01]	Business		
	e licenseProperty	[01]	License		

	🔚 Servic	eConte	ext
1	e locationProperty	[01]	Location
-	elassificationProperty	[01]	Classification
	e serviceTypeProperty	[01]	ServiceType
	e levelofService	[01]	(levelofServiceType)
	🕫 evolution	[01]	(evolutionType)

		arabuu	
Ĩ	🔋 dataSourceName	[01]	DataSourceName
-	e dataSourceSize	[01]	string
000	📧 dataSourceTimeSpan	[01]	duration
	📧 dataSourceMetadata	[01]	DomainSpecificConcerns
	e updateFrequency	[01]	duration



contractLink anyURI



XML Diagram for the DaaS Capability Specification

	🛃 Ca	pability		
Ŧ	📧 qodProperty	[01]	QoD	1
	📧 secprivProperty	[01]	DataSecurityPrivacy	4
690	🖻 auditingProperty	[01]	Auditing	4
	e dataLifecycleProperty	[01]	DataLifecycle	
1	e qosProperty	[01]	QoS	0
	e businessProperty	[01]	Business	Ì
	📧 licenseProperty	[01]	License	J

	2	QoD	
	e timeLine	[0*]	TimeLine
	🖻 upToDate	[01]	duration
	e objectivity	[01]	boolean
	e freeOfError	[01]	double
	🖻 cleaning	[01]	CleaningType
	consistency	[01]	double
-	e dataElementCompleteness	[01]	double
	e dataSetCompleteness	[01]	double
	🧧 🖲 granularity	[01]	DataGranularityType
	e domainspecificQoD	[0*]	DomainSpecificConcerns

POLICY	[01]	(POLICYType)
e security	[01]	SecurityMetrics

	📓 Auditing					
ŕ	e logging	[01]	boolean			
3	e reporting	[01]	ReportingPeriod			
	e warning	[01]	boolean			

	20	DataL	ifecycle
1	e backupRecovery	[01]	BackupRecoveryCapability
	e distribution	[01]	DistributionType
	e disposition	[01]	boolean
_	9	QoS	
	e performance [0	1] P	erformanceMetrics

e dependability [0..1] DependabilityMetrics

	8	Busine	SS
ſ	📧 priceModel	[01]	(priceModelType
000	e price	[01]	(priceType)
	serviceCredit	[01]	boolean
_			
	2	License	3
	e dataLicense	[01] LicenseTerms

e serviceLicense [0.,1] LicenseTerms



APSCC 2009, Dec 8th, 2009, Singapore



From Capability/Context to Service Contract

Non-functional parameters (NFPs) to Service Contracts



 A service contract includes a set of generic, data-specific and service-specific conditions established based on concerns

APSCC 2009, Dec 8th, 2009, Singapore

Populating DaaS Concerns



- We address the specification, publishing and management of DaaS concerns
 - To support the selection of DaaSs
- Monitoring and evaluation are currently open

APSCC 2009, Dec 8th, 2009, Singapore

AR

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS G



Implementation

- Concern specifications
 - Possible solutions: XML, RDF, and OWL
 - Our implementation is based on XML/RDF
 - Easy to reuse vocabularies defined in other standards
 - Link to external domain-specific models of concerns using URIs
- Publishing and linking concerns to services
 - Possible solutions: annotating WSDL, SAWSDL, and external management services
 - We use our SEMF model. Concerns are managed via services supporting the evolutionary management





Example of linking concerns with other type of data

Based on SEMF (Service Evolution Management Framework) [SEAA 08]

```
<title>CorteraCreditPulseService</title>
< e n t r y >
   <title>Interface</title>
   <summary>WSDL I n t e r f a c e </ summary>
   <c a t e g o r y l a b e l ="Web Service Description" scheme="http://www.dmoz.org/Computers/
         Programming/Internet/Service-Oriented Architecture/Web Services/WSDL"
           t e rm="Interface" />
   <c o n t e n t t y p e = "application/wsdl+xml" s r c = "http://ws.strikeiron.com/
   CorteraCreditPulse2?WSDL" />
</entry>
< e n t r y >
   <title>DaaS Conc e rns</title>
   <summary>Data Conc e rns</ summary>
   <c a t e g o r y l a b e l ="Data Concerns" t e rm="DaaSConcern" />
   <c o n t e n t t y p e ="application/xml" s r c ="http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/prototyp/SOD1/
      dataconcerns/samples/CorteraCreditPulseConcerns.xml" />
</entry>
```





Support DaaS Concerns Selection



- DeXIN: Distributed XQuery over Heterogeneous Data Sources [ICEIS09, ICWE09]
- SECO2 : Service Contract Compatibility [ICSOC09] APSCC 2009, Dec 8th , 2009, Singapore





Some Studies

- We are not aware of any provider that publishes
 DaaS's concerns in a well-defined form
 - Only HTML
- Our studies examines the description of DaaSs
 - Enterprising computing
 - StrikeIron, Xignite, serviceobjects.NET, WebserviceX, XWebServices, AERS, Amazon
 - E-science
 - GBIF (Global Biodiversity Information Facility), EBI (European Bioinformatics Institute) Web Services, EMBRACE Service Registry, and BioCatalogue





Service Classification



StrikeIron
 Web
 services

Xignite
 Web
 services

AR

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS GROU



ServiceObjects
 Web Services

Service Classification



WebservicesX Web services



XWebService Web services

other

TU WIEN Concerns in HTML descriptions

 29 services from 7 providers, most are SOAPbased







Concerns of DaaSs in E-science

From the DaaS description point of view

Service Registries	DQ	QoS	Business	Licensing	
				Ownership	Usage permission
GBIF	No	No	No	unstructured	unstructured
EBI Web Services	No	No	No	No	No
EMBRACE Service Registry	No	No	No	No	No
BioCatalogue	No	No	unstructured	unstructured	unstructured

DISTRIBUTED SYSTEMS



Conclusion and Future Work

This paper presents

- The importance of having DaaS concerns to be explicitly specified an a study of existing concerns
- A specification and management technique for DaaS concerns

Future work

- Enhance empirical studies on current concerns for DaaSs
- Apply DaaS concerns to bioinformatic and biomechanic DaaSs
- Support DaaS concern in data composition/mashup tools and contract compatibility evaluation
- Develop a service engineering approach for DaaS concerns, and concern monitoring and evaluation
 - Need a joint effort between service engineering and data engineering research

http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/prototyp/SOD1/



Thanks for your attention!

Hong-Linh Truong Distributed Systems Group Vienna University of Technology

truong@infosys.tuwien.ac.at http://www.infosys.tuwien.ac.at/Staff/truong/

Austria

